

United States Patent and Trademark Office



NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE nited States Patent and Trademark Office dress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/970,994		10/05/2001	Joong- Hyun Mun	06192.0204.NPUS00	3599		
22930	7590	11/20/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HOWREY	SIMON.	ARNOLD & WH	ITE LLP	DI GRAZIO	DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A		
BOX 34							
1299 PENN:	SYLVAN	IA AVENUE NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	FON DC	20004	2071				

DATÉ MAILED: 11/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			it.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/970,994	MUN ET AL						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 sher SSI (6) MONTHS from the railing date of this communication. - If the period for reply a pecified above, the maximum satukutory period v - Failure to reply vision the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing carried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(p). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANC	be timely filed i) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	ıne 2003.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 25 LLC C & 1:	19(a) (d) or (f)						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	i priority under 35 O.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(u) 01 (1).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)								
since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.								
37 CFR 1.78.								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific 								
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		nal Patent Application (PTO	-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/970,994

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-4, filed August 27, 2003 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Liu et al. (US 6,573,965 B1) in view of Melnik et al. (US 6,473,149 B2).

Claims 2-8 have been previously allowed (Official Action of June 19, 2003).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 10.2 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. (US 6,573,965 B1) in view of Melnik et al. (US 6,473,149 B2).

Application/Control Number: 09/970,994

Art Unit: 2871

Per claim 1: Liu has a substrate on which pixel electrodes are formed and the pixel electrodes have apertures (See Figure 3). Liu also has a second substrate on which common electrodes are formed and the common electrodes have apertures (See Figure 4). Liquid crystal is between the substrates (referring to # 314 of either Figures 3 or 4). Liu has bump-like structures acting as spacers on the substrates (for example, #s 309-312)(see also col. 5, lines 26-35). In Figures 3-5 of Liu, the center portions of the first and second aperture patterns are straight (if one draws an imaginary line down the center of the aperture one arrives at a straight line) and the apertures are formed alternatingly in parallel (this is clearly illustrated in Figure 5, for example).

Liu does not explicitly disclose spacers positioned at ends of the second aperture pattern.

Melnik teaches spacers of a low dielectric constant relative to the liquid crystal located in the inter-pixel region to eliminate bend deformation and reverse tilt disclination [ABS, title, entire patent].

Melnik teaches spacer location as a results effective variable to prevent disclination in the inter-pixel regions. Please note that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teachings of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to drawn therefrom (MPEP 2144.01).

Further, optimization of a results effective variable requires only routine skill in the art (MPEP 2144.05 II.B.).

Melnik is evidence that those of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals would have found the reason, suggestion, and motivation to use spacers in the disclination regions optimized to locations at ends of spacer aperture patterns to prevent reverse tilt disclination.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,994

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the display of Liu with the spacers in the disclination regions optimized to locations at ends of spacer aperture patterns of Melnik to prevent reverse tilt disclination.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeanne A. Di Grazio whose telephone number is (703)305-7009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)746-8741.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Jeanne Andrea Di Grazio

Robert Kim, SPE

JDG

